Imaging Galactic Dark Matter with High-Energy Cosmic Neutrinos

Carlos A. Argüelles,[1,*] Ali Kheirandish,[2,†] and Aaron C. Vincent[3,‡]

[1] *Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*
[2] *Department of Physics and Wisconsin IceCube Particle Astrophysics Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA*
[3] *Department of Physics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK*

We show that the high-energy cosmic neutrinos seen by the IceCube Neutrino Observatory can be used to probe interactions between neutrinos and the dark sector that cannot be reached by current cosmological probes. The origin of the observed neutrinos is still unknown, and their arrival directions are compatible with an isotropic distribution. This observation, together with dedicated studies of Galactic plane correlations, suggest a predominantly extragalactic origin. Interactions between this isotropic extragalactic flux and the dense dark matter (DM) bulge of the Milky Way would thus lead to an observable imprint on the distribution, which would be seen by IceCube as 1) slightly suppressed fluxes at energies below a PeV and 2) a deficit of events in the direction of the Galactic center. We perform an extended unbinned likelihood analysis using the four-year high-energy starting event dataset to constrain the strength of DM-neutrino interactions for two model classes. We find that, in spite of low statistics, IceCube can probe regions of the parameter space inaccessible to current cosmological methods.

arXiv:1703.00451v1 [hep-ph] 1 Mar 2017

Introduction Although the effects of cosmological dark matter (DM) have only been observed via its gravitational influence, the order-one ratio between the dark and standard model (SM) baryonic components of the Universe $\Omega_{DM} \sim 5\Omega_b$ hint at a nongravitational link between the two sectors. An annihilation cross section of DM near the weak scale, for instance, easily produces the observed relic density through thermal decoupling – an observation known as the *WIMP miracle* (see e.g., [1]). Regardless of the exact production mechanism, the existence of an annihilation process DM $\rightarrow$ SM implies that the DM-SM elastic scattering process exists and may be measured in the laboratory. Most notably, this is the basis for the plethora of underground direct detection experiments, that aim at observing elastic scattering between DM and quarks. However, interactions between the DM and other particles may also be present and could be the dominant, or even sole, link between the dark and visible sectors. A DM-neutrino interaction is especially attractive for light DM models, where annihilation into heavier products is kinematically forbidden, and appears naturally in some models, for example when the DM is the sterile neutrino (see [2]).

Such a possibility has been considered in depth, mainly in the context of cosmology [3–15]. Two main effects have been considered. First, light ($\lesssim 10$ MeV) DM can transfer entropy into the neutrino sector as it becomes nonrelativistic, affecting the expansion rate of the Universe and dramatically altering Big Bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) and cosmic microwave background (CMB) observables. Second, a small ongoing interaction will lead to *diffusion damping* of cosmological perturbations on small scales, as power is carried away from the collapsing DM overdensities. This suppresses the matter power spectrum and CMB structure on small scales, allowing limits on the nonrelativistic DM-neutrino cross section to be placed.

In this work we turn to a novel, complementary approach. We focus on present-day interactions between high-energy cosmic neutrinos and the DM halo of the Milky Way. After four years of data taking, the IceCube Neutrino Observatory has confirmed the observation of 53 high-energy contained-vertex events (or "High Energy Starting Events, HESE"). Analyses of these events reject a purely atmospheric origin at more than 6σ and show compatibility with an isotropic distribution [16]. This isotropy has been used to place constraints on a galactic contribution, either from standard sources [17] or from the decay or annihilation of halo DM [18]. Here, we search for DM-neutrino interactions by their effect on the isotropy of the extragalactic signal. As they pass through the Milky Way on their way to Earth, the flux of neutrinos would be preferentially attenuated in the direction of the Galactic center, where the DM column density is the largest. For large enough coupling strengths, this leads to an observable, energy-dependent anisotropy in the neutrino sky. We begin by describing the DM-neutrino interactions studied in this work; this is followed by a description of the IceCube events and of the physical model and likelihood that we consider. Finally, we present constraints on the parameter space of such models using IceCube four-year HESE dataset.

Neutrino-dark matter interaction At low energies, when the neutrino energy is much less than the DM mass, elastic scattering cross sections between a neutrino ν and a heavy particle χ typically scale as $\sigma \propto E_\nu^2$. Large-scale structure surveys provide the strongest constraint on such interactions due to diffusion damping of primordial fluctuations, as they "bleed" power into the relativistic degrees of freedom: $\sigma < 10^{-40}(m_\chi/\mathrm{GeV})(T/T_0)^2$ cm^2, where the neutrino temperature today is T_0 (in the case of constant cross section, $\sigma < 10^{-31}(m_\chi/\mathrm{GeV})$ cm^2) [19]. Concurrently, thermal contact between neutrinos

and DM after neutrino decoupling ($T_{dec} \simeq 2.3$ MeV) leads to injection of entropy into the neutrino sector as the DM becomes nonrelativistic, which affects both nucleosynthesis and recombination by accelerating the expansion rate of the Universe. Based on recent CMB measurements and primordial elemental abundance determinations, this second, independent effect leads to the constraint $m_\chi \gtrsim 4$ MeV for a real scalar and $m_\chi \gtrsim 9$ MeV for Dirac fermionic DM [20].

At high energies, the approximation $\sigma \propto E_\nu^2$ breaks down for most viable particle interactions, since any mediating particle ϕ starts to be resolved as the center of mass energy approaches m_ϕ. We thus turn to two simplified interaction models to illustrate our scenario: a) a fermionic DM candidate coupled to neutrinos via a spin-1 mediator $(S_\chi, S_\phi) = (1/2, 1)$ and b) a scalar DM particle, coupled via a fermion $(0, 1/2)$. The former is akin to a new Z' gauge boson [21], while the latter, inspired by right-handed sneutrino models (e.g., [22, 23]), includes an s-channel diagram in the elastic scattering matrix element and thus presents some resonant structure. This leads to qualitatively different phenomenology, suggesting that resonant scattering at high energies may be significantly more constraining than cosmological constraints where $E_\nu \lesssim$ eV $\ll m_\chi$.

In both cases, we refer to the DM as χ and the mediator as ϕ, and seek to constrain the particle masses m_χ, m_ϕ, and three-point couplings g (setting the $\phi - \nu$ and $\phi - \chi$ couplings equal where relevant).

The extragalactic neutrino signal Since the discovery of cosmic neutrinos in 2013 [24, 25], IceCube has reported 53 HESE events. Several scenarios and source classes have been proposed for the origin and production of high-energy neutrinos (see [18, 26–32]). However, the sources of IceCube's highest energy neutrinos are still a mystery, since – so far – all point source searches and correlation studies have favored an isotropic distribution [33]. This, along with the relatively large observed flux, implies that a large fraction of the energy in the nonthermal Universe originates from hadronic processes. The observed cosmic neutrino flux is predominantly extragalactic in origin, and its total energy density is similar to that of photons measured by the Fermi gamma ray telescope [34]. This suggests a common origin of high-energy neutrinos and gamma rays. That is, rather than some exotic sources, IceCube is observing the same Universe astronomers do.

In this work, we use the full four-year HESE sample, which consists of 13 muon tracks and 40 cascades. They are compatible with a power law spectrum given by $E^2\phi(E) = 2.2 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-8}(E/100\,\mathrm{TeV})^{-0.58}\,\mathrm{GeV\,cm^{-2}\,s^{-1}\,sr^{-1}}$. No statistically significant clustering has been found in this event selection, i.e., the spatial distribution is consistent with the isotropic direction. Furthermore, correlation between



FIG. 1. The arrival directions of the 53 HESE neutrinos observed in four years of IceCube data [16], in Galactic coordinates. Crosses represent shower events, while x's correspond to tracks. Circles represent the median angular uncertainty of cascades. The color scale is the column density of DM traversed by neutrinos arriving from each direction.

the neutrinos arrival directions and the galactic plane was not found to be significant [16]. The flavor composition of this sample is consistent with $(\nu_e : \nu_\mu : \nu_\tau) = (1 : 1 : 1)$ [35, 36]. This is the composition expected for pionic origin of the events and current measured neutrino mixing angle [37]. Nonetheless, a different flavor composition at production would yield an oscillation-averaged flux that is very close to $(1 : 1 : 1)$ and, with current statistics, would not be distinguishable within the space of flavors allowed by oscillation [36]. In fact, as long as the production mechanism is pion-dominated, the expected flavor ratio remains close to $(1 : 1 : 1)$ even in the presence of new physics in the propagation [38]. For definiteness, we set the spectral index to the expected value from Fermi acceleration mechanisms, i.e., $\gamma = 2$. Changing γ to 2.5 has no visible impact on our conclusions.

We model the attenuation of extragalactic neutrinos as they pass through the halo of DM particles that gravitationally bind the Milky Way. The bulk of the DM lies in the direction of the Galactic center, $(l, b) = (0, 0)$ in Galactic coordinates, 8.5 kpc away from our location. Its radial density distribution $\rho_\chi(r)$ can be modeled with the Einasto profile [39]. We employ shape parameters that fit the Via Lactea II simulation results [40], and a local DM density of $\rho_\odot = 0.4$ GeV cm^{-3}. Although the commonly-used NFW profile also provides a good fit to Via Lactea II, the central divergence leads to excessive attenuation in this model. In this sense, the choice of an Einasto profile can be taken as conservative.

We take the incoming neutrino flux, $\Phi(E)$, to be isotropic, extragalactic in origin, and model it as a power law in energy. The propagation of the extragalactic high-energy neutrino flux towards the Earth, as they traverse the diffuse DM halo, can be described by a cascade equa-

tion

$$\frac{d\Phi(E)}{d\tau} = -\sigma(E)\Phi(E) + \int_E^\infty d\tilde{E}\frac{d\sigma(\tilde{E},E)}{dE}\Phi(\tilde{E}), \quad (1)$$

where E is the neutrino energy and τ is the DM column density

$$\tau(b,l) = \int_{l.o.s} n_\chi(x;b,l)\, dx, \quad (2)$$

b and l are respectively the galactic latitude and longitude, and $n_\chi(x;b,l) = \rho_\chi(r)/m_\chi$ is the DM number density along the line of sight (l.o.s). The DM column density and the arrival direction of high-energy cosmic neutrinos are shown in Fig 1.

Likelihood function We construct an extended unbinned likelihood function for a given parameter set $\vartheta = \{m_\chi, m_\phi, g_\nu g_\chi\}$ and set events of observed topologies, energies, and arrival directions $\{t, E, \vec{x}\}$

$$\mathcal{L}(\{t,E,\vec{x}\}|\vartheta) = e^{-\sum_a N_a}\prod_{i=1}^{N_{obs}}\sum_a N_a P_a(t_i,E_i,\vec{x}_i|\vartheta), \quad (3)$$

where the index a runs over the astrophysical track, astrophysical shower, atmospheric neutrino, and atmospheric muon components; while the product runs over the observed events ($N_{obs} = 53$). The probability distributions of the neutrino components in Eq. (3) are

$$P_a(t_i,E_i,\vec{x}_i) \propto \sum_{f=e,\mu,\tau}\int dE_t d^2\vec{x}_t\; R_E(E_i,E_t) \quad (4)$$
$$\times\, A_{eff}(f,E_t,t_i,\vec{x}_t)P_V(f,E_t,t,\vec{x})\phi_a(E_t,\vec{x}_t).$$

For $P_\mu(t_i,E_i,\vec{x}_i)$ energy distribution we use the empirical parametrization given in [36] and the angular distribution from [16]. The sum in Eq. (4) is over the flavor composition of each component. We introduce the detector energy resolution R_E as normal distributions with uncertainties given in [41]. The neutrino effective area for each flavor, A_{eff}, are obtained from [42]. The flavor ratios of the atmospheric flux are specified by the Honda model [43, 44] with the Gaisser cosmic ray knee correction [45]. The atmospheric neutrino self-veto probability, P_V, was calculated using [46]. In Eq. (4), $\phi_{astro}(E,b,l)$ is the solution to the propagation equation (1), where the galactic latitude and longitude (b,l) implicitly specify the column density given by Eq. (2). The model dependence of Eq. (3) thus comes from the directional attenuation with respect to the isotropic hypothesis, $\mathcal{L} \propto \phi(E,b,l|\vartheta)/\phi^{iso}(E)$. We include a 10% chance that tracks from muon neutrino charged current events were misidentified as showers. Finally, the probabilities defined in (4) are normalized to give one event per observation period.


Atm. muons
Atm. ν
Atm + Astro. ν, no DM
$(S_\chi, S_\phi) = (1/2, 1), g = 1$
$(S_\chi, S_\phi) = (1/2, 1), g = \sqrt{5}$
$(S_\chi, S_\phi) = (0, 1/2)$
Events per 1347 days
E_{dep}/TeV
$E_{dep} > 60$ TeV
Atm. muons
Atm. ν
Atm. + Astro., no DM
$(S_\chi, S_\phi) = (1/2, 1), g = 1$
$(S_\chi, S_\phi) = (1/2, 1), g = \sqrt{5}$
$(S_\chi, S_\phi) = (0, 1/2)$
$dN/d\cos\theta$
Angle θ from galactic centre (deg)

FIG. 2. Effect on the energy and spatial distribution of HESE as seen at IceCube, due to interactions with the DM halo of the Milky Way. Pale grey and cyan lines represent atmospheric background fluxes. Darker lines are: Black: standard astrophysical flux; yellow: fermionic DM with a spin-1 mediator ($g = 1, m_\chi = 100$ MeV, $m_\phi = 10$ MeV). Blue: the same model but with $g = \sqrt{5}$; and orange: scalar DM with a fermionic mediator ($g = \sqrt{10}, m_\chi = 20$ keV, $m_\phi = 6$ GeV). The new physics models can be probed with our analysis of HESE neutrinos, but are not accessible to cosmological studies. We show binned IceCube HESE data in light blue.

Results The likelihood is incorporated into a custom-built Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) code[1], which is used to produce posterior likelihood distributions in the six-dimensional space of $(g, m_\chi, m_\phi, N_{astro}, N_{atm}, N_\mu)$. We note that posteriors on $\{N_a\}$ reproduce independently obtained results [16, 36], with $N_{astro} = 34.3 \pm 6.5, N_{atm} = 14.42 \pm 4.6$, and $N_\mu = 7.1 \pm 2.8$. We find that these are completely uncorrelated with the other

[1] We use the publicly available `emcee` [47] sampler.

model parameters.

Fig. 2 shows the event distributions in four different scenarios, as they would be expected in IceCube. The top panel shows the deposited energy distribution, while the lower one shows the event rate versus angular distance from the Galactic center, where DM-induced attenuation is strongest. Fig. 2, highlights the two main effects we observe: 1) a suppression of the event rate with decreasing energy, and 2) a suppression of the event rate near the Galactic center. It is the combination of these effects that constrain such models. In the bottom panel of Fig. 2 we show only events with energies above 60 TeV to avoid confusion with the atmopheric contamination, which comes predominantly from low energies and low declinations.

We contrast four different scenarios: 1) a null isotropic (black) hypothesis where no DM-neutrino interaction is present, 2) the case (yellow) with a fermionic ($S_\chi = 1/2$) DM particle, with a vector ($S_\phi = 1$) mediator and a coupling g = 1, 3) the same (blue), but with larger $g = \sqrt{5}$, and finally 4) a scalar DM candidate with a fermionic mediator ($S_\chi = 0, S_\phi = 1/2$), and with $m_\chi = 20$ keV, $m_\phi = 6$ GeV, and $g = 1$. For reference we also show atmospheric muons (grey) and neutrinos (cyan).

Models 2 and 3 are chosen to give an observable effect: these are large, and we will show that they can be probed by our analysis. However, the resulting low-energy cross sections are not large enough to affect the cosmological limits. Indeed, even the more extreme (blue) of these scenarios remains two orders of magnitude below the large-scale structure limits, and it is therefore clear that in certain regions of the parameter space, IceCube data can provide strong constraints on new Z'-like mediators. The final scenario is chosen such that resonant (s-channel) scattering occurs at $E_\nu = m_\phi^2/2m_\chi = 810$ TeV, close to where the "gap" in observed IceCube events is seen. This resonant suppression can clearly be seen in Fig. 2. However, we note that though the cross section is allowed by diffusion damping constraints, the DM masses required for such an effect are so low that their thermal contact with neutrinos at early times will inevitably increase the number of effective relativistic degrees of freedom, affecting nucleosynthesis and recombination. In Fig. 3, the marginalized posterior distributions of the two models for HESE are illustrated: fermionic DM with vector mediator in the left (green) column, and scalar DM with fermionic mediator in the right (purple) column. The 95% and 68% containment regions are respectively shown in light and dark shading. The white regions correspond to disfavored parameter spaced. Given that we have no compact support, the normalization of the posteriors distributions is not well defined. However, the likelihood $\mathcal{L}$ is rapidly driven to zero on the white side of the 95% containment bands due to the inability of strongly-interacting models to generate high-energy events. We have furthermore



FIG. 3. Marginalized 95% (light) and 68% (dark) containment regions of the two models considered here: (left column) fermionic DM with a vector mediator and (right column) scalar DM with fermionic mediator. Shown as a function of the model parameters (m_χ, m_ϕ, g). White regions are disfavored parameter space.

tested that they are robust against changes in the prior region. The resonance region in the scalar DM case can be discerned in the upper-right panel, though the paucity of events prevents a more detailed reconstruction.

Conclusions We have used the recently opened cosmic neutrino frontier to seek out new dark sector interactions, and to boldly explore the parameter space of their interactions with high-energy neutrinos. The isotropic distribution of the high-energy extraterrestrial neutrinos leads to constraints on the DM-neutrino interaction in the Galaxy. Our study considers not only the spatial component, but also the energy and topology of the events. We obtain the strongest constraints to date in some regions of parameter space, and our new method is sensitive to the DM-neutrino interaction details.

Our current constrains are statistically limited due to the low rate of cosmic neutrino detection and the poorness of angular resolutions. Further observation of high-energy starting events in IceCube and implementation of a new method for increasing the number of astrophysical neutrinos from the southern sky would help to obtain stronger bounds or find evidence of DM-neutrino interaction. This also motivates an IceCube extension such as purposed IceCube-Gen2 [48] as well as KM3NeT [49] which will provide larger samples of well

reconstructed tracks, and provide more insight into the nature of DM-neutrino interactions.

Acknowledgements We thank Janet Conrad and Francis Halzen for useful comments and discussions. We also thank Markus Ahlers, Rachel Carr, Alex Geringer-Sameth, Jordi Salvado Serra, Pat Scott, and Donglian Xu. CA is supported by NSF grants No. PHY-1505858 and PHY-1505855. AK was supported in part by NSF under grants ANT-0937462 and PHY-1306958 and by the University of Wisconsin Research Committee with funds granted by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation. ACV is supported by an Imperial College Junior Research Fellowship.

* caad@mit.edu
† ali.kheirandish@icecube.wisc.edu
‡ aaron.vincent@imperial.ac.uk

[1] G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski, and K. Griest, Phys. Rept. **267**, 195 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9506380 [hep-ph].
[2] R. Adhikari *et al.*, Submitted to: White paper (2016), arXiv:1602.04816 [hep-ph].
[3] C. Boehm, P. Fayet, and R. Schaeffer, Phys.Lett. **B518**, 8 (2001), arXiv:astro-ph/0012504 [astro-ph].
[4] C. Boehm, A. Riazuelo, S. H. Hansen, and R. Schaeffer, Phys.Rev. **D66**, 083505 (2002), arXiv:astro-ph/0112522 [astro-ph].
[5] C. Boehm and R. Schaeffer, Astron.Astrophys. **438**, 419 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0410591 [astro-ph].
[6] E. Bertschinger, Phys.Rev. **D74**, 063509 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0607319 [astro-ph].
[7] G. Mangano, A. Melchiorri, P. Serra, A. Cooray, and M. Kamionkowski, Phys.Rev. **D74**, 043517 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0606190 [astro-ph].
[8] P. Serra, F. Zalamea, A. Cooray, G. Mangano, and A. Melchiorri, Phys.Rev. **D81**, 043507 (2010), arXiv:0911.4411 [astro-ph.CO].
[9] R. J. Wilkinson, C. Boehm, and J. Lesgourgues, JCAP **1405**, 011 (2014), arXiv:1401.7597 [astro-ph.CO].
[10] L. G. van den Aarssen, T. Bringmann, and C. Pfrommer, Phys.Rev.Lett. **109**, 231301 (2012), arXiv:1205.5809 [astro-ph.CO].
[11] Y. Farzan and S. Palomares-Ruiz, JCAP **1406**, 014 (2014), arXiv:1401.7019 [hep-ph].
[12] C. Boehm, J. Schewtschenko, R. Wilkinson, C. Baugh, and S. Pascoli, Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc. **445**, L31 (2014), arXiv:1404.7012 [astro-ph.CO].
[13] J. F. Cherry, A. Friedland, and I. M. Shoemaker, (2014), arXiv:1411.1071 [hep-ph].
[14] B. Bertoni, S. Ipek, D. McKeen, and A. E. Nelson, JHEP **1504**, 170 (2015), arXiv:1412.3113 [hep-ph].
[15] J. Schewtschenko, R. Wilkinson, C. Baugh, C. Boehm, and S. Pascoli, Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc. **449**, 3587 (2015), arXiv:1412.4905 [astro-ph.CO].
[16] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), in *Proceedings, 34th International Cosmic Ray Conference (ICRC 2015)* (2015) arXiv:1510.05223 [astro-ph.HE].
[17] M. Ahlers, Y. Bai, V. Barger, and R. Lu, Phys. Rev. **D93**, 013009 (2016), arXiv:1505.03156 [hep-ph].
[18] Y. Bai, R. Lu, and J. Salvado, JHEP **01**, 161 (2016), arXiv:1311.5864 [hep-ph].
[19] M. Escudero, O. Mena, A. C. Vincent, R. J. Wilkinson, and C. Boehm, JCAP **1509**, 034 (2015), arXiv:1505.06735 [astro-ph.CO].
[20] R. J. Wilkinson, A. C. Vincent, C. Boehm, and C. McCabe, Phys. Rev. **D94**, 103525 (2016), arXiv:1602.01114 [astro-ph.CO].
[21] C. Boehm, P. Fayet, and R. Schaeffer, , 333 (2002), arXiv:astro-ph/0205406 [astro-ph].
[22] D. G. Cerdeno, C. Munoz, and O. Seto, Phys. Rev. **D79**, 023510 (2009), arXiv:0807.3029 [hep-ph].
[23] D. G. Cerdeno and O. Seto, JCAP **0908**, 032 (2009), arXiv:0903.4677 [hep-ph].
[24] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), Science **342**, 1242856 (2013), arXiv:1311.5238 [astro-ph.HE].
[25] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 101101 (2014), arXiv:1405.5303 [astro-ph.HE].
[26] P. Padovani, M. Petropoulou, P. Giommi, and E. Resconi, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **452**, 1877 (2015), arXiv:1506.09135 [astro-ph.HE].
[27] F. KrauÃ *et al.*, Astron. Astrophys. **566**, L7 (2014), arXiv:1406.0645 [astro-ph.HE].
[28] J. Becker Tjus, B. Eichmann, F. Halzen, A. Kheirandish, and S. Saba, Phys. Rev. **D89**, 123005 (2014), arXiv:1406.0506 [astro-ph.HE].
[29] D. Hooper, (2016), arXiv:1605.06504 [astro-ph.HE].
[30] F. W. Stecker, Phys. Rev. **D88**, 047301 (2013), arXiv:1305.7404 [astro-ph.HE].
[31] A. Loeb and E. Waxman, JCAP **0605**, 003 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0601695 [astro-ph].
[32] K. Murase, M. Ahlers, and B. C. Lacki, Phys. Rev. **D88**, 121301 (2013), arXiv:1306.3417 [astro-ph.HE].
[33] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), Astrophys. J. **796**, 109 (2014), arXiv:1406.6757 [astro-ph.HE].
[34] F. Halzen, Nat Phys **advance online publication** (2016), 10.1038/nphys3816.
[35] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), Astrophys. J. **809**, 98 (2015), arXiv:1507.03991 [astro-ph.HE].
[36] A. C. Vincent, S. Palomares-Ruiz, and O. Mena, (2016), arXiv:1605.01556 [astro-ph.HE].
[37] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, and T. Schwetz, Nucl. Phys. **B908**, 199 (2016), arXiv:1512.06856 [hep-ph].
[38] C. A. Argüelles, T. Katori, and J. Salvado, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 161303 (2015), arXiv:1506.02043 [hep-ph].
[39] J. Einasto and U. Haud, A&A **223**, 89 (1989).
[40] M. Kuhlen, P. Madau, and J. Silk, Science **325**, 970 (2009), arXiv:0907.0005 [astro-ph.GA].
[41] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 081102 (2015), arXiv:1507.04005 [astro-ph.HE].
[42] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), Science **342** (2013), 10.1126/science.1242856, http://science.sciencemag.org/content/342/6161/1242856.full.pdf.
[43] M. Honda, T. Kajita, K. Kasahara, S. Midorikawa, and T. Sanuki, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 043006 (2007), arXiv:astro-ph/0611418 [astro-ph].
[44] T. Sanuki, M. Honda, T. Kajita, K. Kasahara, and S. Midorikawa, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 043005 (2007), arXiv:astro-ph/0611201 [astro-ph].
[45] T. K. Gaisser, T. Stanev, and S. Tilav, Front. Phys.(Beijing) **8**, 748 (2013), arXiv:1303.3565 [astro-

ph.HE].
[46] T. K. Gaisser, K. Jero, A. Karle, and J. van Santen, Phys. Rev. **D90**, 023009 (2014), arXiv:1405.0525 [astro-ph.HE].
[47] D. Foreman-Mackey, D. W. Hogg, D. Lang, and J. Goodman, PASP **125**, 306 (2013), arXiv:1202.3665 [astro-ph.IM].
[48] M. G. Aartsen *et al.* (IceCube), (2014), arXiv:1412.5106 [astro-ph.HE].
[49] S. Adrian-Martinez *et al.* (KM3Net), J. Phys. **G43**, 084001 (2016), arXiv:1601.07459 [astro-ph.IM].